

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,011	04/16/2007	Hugh J. O'Donnell	60469-127 PUS1; OT-5357	1609
	7590 04/14/201 ASKEY & OLDS	EXAMINER		
400 W MAPLI	E STE 350	HURLEY, SHAUN R		
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/582,011	O'DONNELL, HUGH J.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
Shaun R. Hurley	3765					
	10/582,011 Examiner	10/582,011 O'DONNELL, HUGH Examiner Art Unit				

		Snaun R. Hurley	3/65	
The	MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FI	LED 23 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply application application 	was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or n, applicant must timely file one of the following n in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App ued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (n the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
b) The po no eve Exami	eriod for reply expires 3-months from the mailing date ariod for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A int, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time have been filed is under 37 CFR 1.1 set forth in (b) abo	e may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the ove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of	e of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	-			
(a)⊠ The (b)□ The (c)□ The	osed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by raise new issues that would require further co y raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld by are not deemed to place the application in be leal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d)☐ The	y present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
	DTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
	ndments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
	t's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
	oposed or amended claim(s) would be all able claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
how the n The status Claim(s) a Claim(s) o Claim(s) r	objected to:		l be entered and an e	planation of
	OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. The affida because a	vit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu applicant failed to provide a showing of good an arlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
entered be	wit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ecause the affidavit or other evidence failed to o I good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
	lavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
	R RECONSIDERATION/OTHER uest for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

/Shaun R Hurley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765 Contined from Amendments: While the claim amendment would appear to eliminate the possibility of no break being present, the claims have not yet been searched based on this requirement. As previously presented, the claim language required that the product be inspected, which is what was searched.